1	J. MICHAEL KALER, SBN 158296	
2	9930 Mesa Rim Road, Suite 200	
3	San Diego, California 92121 Telephone (858) 362-3151	
4	michael@kalerlaw.com	
5	MELODY A. KRAMER, SBN 169984	
6	9930 Mesa Rim Road, Suite 1600	
7	San Diego, California 92121	
8	Telephone (858) 362-3150 mak@kramerlawip.com	
9		NSFN
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff JENS ERIK SORE as Trustee of SORENSEN RESEARCH A DEVELOPMENT TRUST	AND .
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
15	JENS ERIK SORENSEN, as Trustee of) Case No. 08 CV 00095 JW
16	SORENSEN RESEARCH AND)) DECLARATION OF MELODY A.
17	DEVELOPMENT TRUST,) KRAMER IN SUPPORT OF
18	Plaintiff	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
19	V.) APPLICATION OF 35 U.S.C. § 295) PRESUMPTION OF
20	LEXAR MEDIA, INC., a Delaware) INFRINGEMENT
21	Corporation; and DOES 1 – 100,) Date: June 9, 2008
22	Defendants.) Time: 9:00 A.M.
23) Courtroom 8, 4 th Floor) Judge: The Hon. James Ware
24)
25		Oral Argument is Respectfully Requestedat Hearing on This Matter.
26)
27))
28		

Case 5:08-cv-00095-JW Document 20-7 Filed 04/11/2008 Page 1 of 3

I, MELODY A. KRAMER, declare:

- 1. I am not a party to the present action. I am over the age of eighteen. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained within the following paragraphs, and could and would competently testify thereto if called as a witness in a court of law.
- 2. At all times relevant herein I have been an attorney for Sorensen Research and Development Trust ("SRDT"), Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
- 3. This declaration is made in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Application of 35 U.S.C. § 295 Presumption of Infringement.
- 4. On April 16, 2005, Plaintiff's counsel, Mr. Kaler, sent a formal request to Defendant Lexar Media, Inc., ("Lexar") under the United States Process Patent Amendments Act of 1988 (35 U.S.C. § 295) seeking factual information necessary to verify whether Lexar's Media JumpDrive 128MB, sold, imported into, or used in the United States was made using the process patented in United States Patent No. 4,935,184 (the '184 patent"). The letter set forth a detailed analysis of the existence of a substantial likelihood that the Accused Products were manufactured with a method that infringed on the '184 patent, and enclosed drawings, a claim chart, and a copy of the '184 patent. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the letter dated April 16, 2005.
- 5. In a letter dated May 19, 2005, counsel for Lexar, Mr. Flagel, claimed that he had received written confirmation from its suppliers asserting that they did not infringe on the '184 patent. The foreign manufacturers were not identified, and there was no explanation of how or who had conducted any investigation or what the extent of the investigation had been. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the letter dated May 19, 2005.
- 6. After a number of unsuccessful exchanges, Plaintiff requested Lexar produce a legally admissible declaration by a U.S. based Lexar officer who had personal knowledge of the process used to fabricate each of the Accused Products. Lexar failed and refused to provide the requested declaration. Plaintiff also requested

copies of the claimed written confirmations of non-infringement from Lexar's suppliers, but Lexar refused to produce the claimed confirmations. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of letter dated November 7, 2007.

- Lexar was again advised of Plaintiff's intent to invoke the 35 U.S.C. § 7. 295 presumption against Lexar if properly verified process information was not provided by letter dated December 12, 2007. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of letter dated December 12, 2007.
- On January 7, 2008, this case was filed, accusing Defendant of infringing on the '184 patented process in the manufacturing, import, sale and/or offer for sale of the LEXAR MEDIA JumpDrive 128MB ("Accused Product"). Although Lexar has never identified its suppliers in any manner, the packaging for the Accused Product indicates that it is "Made in China." Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of a product label.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing paragraphs are true and correct to the best of my own personal knowledge.

DATED this Thursday, April 10, 2008.

26

27

28